



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/614,415 07/07/2003		Ho-Won Jung	678-1198 (P10994)	7470			
28249	7590	10/02/2006		EXAM	NER		
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553			·	HUYNH, CHUCK			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2617			
				DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006	•		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/614,415	JUNG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chuck Huynh	2617		

		Chuck Huynh		2617	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the c	orrespondence add	iress
THE REP	LY FILED 22 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN COND	DITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
this plac a Re time	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the followes the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendr tice of Appeal (with appeal te with 37 CFR 1.114. The	ment, affi I fee) in c	davit, or other evide ompliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) 🗌	The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u> The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date ater than SIX MONTHS from to b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W	the mailing	date of the final reject	ion.
have been under 37 C set forth in may reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) DF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for than three months after the m	g amount o	of the fee. The approp	riate extension fee ice action: or (2) as
filing	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extendice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed ENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of t	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
(a) ☑ (b) ☐ (c) ☐	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, localized They raise new issues that would require further condition. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloder) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (w); ter form for appeal by mate	(see NOT	E below); ducing or simplifying	
_	_] They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		·	
	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		f Non-Coi	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	olicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
non-	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all allowable claim(s).			-	_
how The Clai Clai Clai	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is provistatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed: m(s) objected to: m(s) rejected: 1-18. m(s) withdrawn from consideration:		b) ∐ will	l be entered and an	explanation of
	T OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
beca	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
ente shov	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections und y and was not earlier prese	der appea ented. Se	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation TFOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claim	is after er	ntry is below or attac	hed.
	e request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the appl	lication in	condition for allowa	nce because:
	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		gupt	-
.5 5.		;		DUC M. NGUYEN ISORY PATENT EX	(AMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended limitations have changed the scope of the claims; therefore the claims raise new issues that require further search.